STATE OF MISSOURI

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

MISSOURI CLEAN WATER COMMISSION



MISSOURI STATE OPERATING PERMIT

In compliance with the Missouri Clean Water Law, (Chapter 644 R.S. Mo. as amended, hereinafter, the Law), and the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (Public Law 92-500, 92nd Congress) as amended,

Public Water Supply District #13 of Jefferson County 5699 Lake Tishomingo Road, Hillsboro, MO 63050

MO-0136298

Same as above

Same as above

Permit No.

Owner:

Address:

Address:

Continuing Authority:

Facility Name: Facility Address:	Lake Tishomingo Wastewater Treatment Facility 5699 Lake Tishomingo Road, Hillsboro, MO 63050
Legal Description: UTM:	Land Grant 3027, Jefferson County $X = 709625$, $Y = 4243847$
Receiving Stream: First Classified Stream and ID: USGS Basin & Sub-watershed No.:	Unnamed tributary to Belew Creek Belew Creek (P) (2179) (07140104 – 0404)
is authorized to discharge from the facility as set forth herein:	y described herein, in accordance with the effluent limitations and monitoring requirements
	m that feeds into a recirculating sand filter. Septic tanks have a capacity of 1,000gallons, ecirculation tank and four sand filters. UV disinfection prior to discharge.
	ischarges under the Missouri Clean Water Law and the National Pollutant Discharge other regulated areas. This permit may be appealed in accordance with Section 644.051.6 of
January 28, 2011 January 5, 201 Effective Date	2 Sara Parker Pauley, Director, Department of Natural Resources
January 27, 2016 Expiration Date	John Madras, Director, Water Protection Program

A. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

PAGE NUMBER 2 of 7

PERMIT NUMBER MO-0136298

The permittee is authorized to discharge from outfall(s) with serial number(s) as specified in the application for this permit. The final effluent limitations shall become effective upon issuance and remain in effect until expiration of the permit. Such discharges shall be controlled, limited and monitored by the permittee as specified below:

OUTFALL NUMBER AND	UNITS	FINAL EFI	FLUENT LIM	ITATIONS MONITORING REQUIREMENTS		
EFFLUENT PARAMETER(S)	ONTS	DAILY MAXIMUM	WEEKLY AVERAGE	MONTHLY AVERAGE	MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
Outfall #001						
Flow	MGD	*		*	once/month	24 hr. total
Biochemical Oxygen Demand ₅	mg/L		45	30	once/month	grab
Total Suspended Solids	mg/L		45	30	once/month	grab
pH – Units	SU	***		***	once/month	grab
Ammonia as N (May 1 – Oct 31) (Nov 1 – April 30)	mg/L	3.7 7.5		1.4 2.8	once/month	grab
Oil & Grease	mg/L	15		10	once/month	grab
E. Coli (Note 1)	#/100 mL		1030	206	once/month	grab

MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED MONTHLY; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE March 28, 2011. THERE SHALL BE NO DISCHARGE OF FLOATING SOLIDS OR VISIBLE FOAM IN OTHER THAN TRACE AMOUNTS.

MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED ONCE PER PERMIT CYCLE; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE August 28, 2015.

B. STANDARD CONDITIONS

IN ADDITION TO SPECIFIED CONDITIONS STATED HEREIN, THIS PERMIT IS SUBJECT TO THE ATTACHED <u>Parts I, II, & III</u> STANDARD CONDITIONS DATED <u>October 1, 1980 and August 15, 1994</u>, AND HEREBY INCORPORATED AS THOUGH FULLY SET FORTH HEREIN.

A. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS (continued)

- * Monitoring requirement only.
- ** Sample once per quarter in the months of March, June, September, and December.
- *** pH is measured in pH units and is not to be averaged. The pH is limited to the range of 6.5-9.0 pH units.

Note 1 - Final limitations and monitoring requirements for *E. coli* are applicable only during the recreational season from April 1 through October 31. The Monthly Average Limit for *E. coli* is expressed as a geometric mean. The Weekly Average for *E. coli* will be expressed as a geometric mean if more than one (1) sample is collected during a calendar week (Sunday through Saturday).

C. INFLUENT MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

PAGE NUMBER 3 of 7

PERMIT NUMBER MO-0136298

The facility is required to meet a removal efficiency of 85% or more. The monitoring requirements shall become effective upon issuance and remain in effect until expiration of the permit. To determine removal efficiencies, the influent wastewater shall be monitored by the permittee as specified below:

SAMPLING LOCATION AND	UNITS		G REQUIREMENTS	
PARAMETER(S)	ONTIS	MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE	
Influent				
Biochemical Oxygen Demand ₅	mg/L	once/quarter****	grab	
Total Suspended Solids	mg/L	once/quarter****	grab	

MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED QUARTERLY; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE April 28, 2011.

**** See table below for quarterly sampling.

Sample discharge at least once for the months of:	Report is due:
January, February, March (1st Quarter)	April 28
April, May, June (2nd Quarter)	July 28
July, August, September (3rd Quarter)	October 28
October, November, December (4th Quarter)	January 28

D. SPECIAL CONDITIONS

- 1. This permit may be reopened and modified, or alternatively revoked and reissued, to:
 - (a) Comply with any applicable effluent standard or limitation issued or approved under Sections 301(b)(2)(C) and (D), 304(b)(2), and 307(a) (2) of the Clean Water Act, if the effluent standard or limitation so issued or approved:
 - (1) contains different conditions or is otherwise more stringent than any effluent limitation in the permit; or
 - (2) controls any pollutant not limited in the permit.
 - (b) Incorporate new or modified effluent limitations or other conditions, if the result of a waste load allocation study, toxicity test or other information indicates changes are necessary to assure compliance with Missouri's Water Quality Standards.
 - (c) Incorporate new or modified effluent limitations or other conditions if, as the result of a watershed analysis, a Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) limitation is developed for the receiving waters which are currently included in Missouri's list of waters of the state not fully achieving the state's water quality standards, also called the 303(d) list.

The permit as modified or reissued under this paragraph shall also contain any other requirements of the Clean Water Act then applicable.

- 2. All outfalls must be clearly marked in the field.
- 3. Permittee will cease discharge by connection to a facility with an area-wide management plan per 10 CSR 20-6.010(3)(B) within 90 days of notice of its availability.
- 4. Changes in Discharges of Toxic Substances

The permittee shall notify the Director as soon as it knows or has reason to believe:

- (a) That any activity has occurred or will occur which would result in the discharge of any toxic pollutant which is not limited in the permit, if that discharge will exceed the highest of the following "notification levels:"
 - (1) One hundred micrograms per liter (100 μ g/L);
 - Two hundred micrograms per liter (200 μ g/L) for acrolein and acrylonitrile; five hundred micrograms per liter (500 μ g/L) for 2,5 dinitrophenol and for 2-methyl-4, 6-dinitrophenol; and one milligram per liter (1 mg/L) for antimony;
 - (3) Five (5) times the maximum concentration value reported for the pollutant in the permit application;
 - (4) The level established in Part A of the permit by the Director.
- (b) That they have begun or expect to begin to use or manufacture as an intermediate or final product or byproduct any toxic pollutant, which was not reported in the permit application.
- 5. Report as no-discharge when a discharge does not occur during the report period.

D. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (continued)

- 6. Water Quality Standards
 - (a) Discharges to waters of the state shall not cause a violation of water quality standards rule under 10 CSR 20-7.031, including both specific and general criteria.
 - (b) General Criteria. The following general water quality criteria shall be applicable to all waters of the state at all times including mixing zones. No water contaminant, by itself or in combination with other substances, shall prevent the waters of the state from meeting the following conditions:
 - (1) Waters shall be free from substances in sufficient amounts to cause the formation of putrescent, unsightly or harmful bottom deposits or prevent full maintenance of beneficial uses;
 - (2) Waters shall be free from oil, scum and floating debris in sufficient amounts to be unsightly or prevent full maintenance of beneficial uses;
 - (3) Waters shall be free from substances in sufficient amounts to cause unsightly color or turbidity, offensive odor or prevent full maintenance of beneficial uses;
 - (4) Waters shall be free from substances or conditions in sufficient amounts to result in toxicity to human, animal or aquatic life:
 - (5) There shall be no significant human health hazard from incidental contact with the water;
 - (6) There shall be no acute toxicity to livestock or wildlife watering;
 - (7) Waters shall be free from physical, chemical or hydrologic changes that would impair the natural biological community;
 - (8) Waters shall be free from used tires, car bodies, appliances, demolition debris, used vehicles or equipment and solid waste as defined in Missouri's Solid Waste Law, section 260.200, RSMo, except as the use of such materials is specifically permitted pursuant to section 260.200-260.247.
- 7. The permittee shall comply with any applicable requirements listed in 10 CSR 20-8 and 10 CSR 20-9, unless the facility has received written notification that the Department has approved a modification to the requirements. The monitoring frequencies contained in this permit shall not be construed by the permittee as a modification of the monitoring frequencies listed in 10 CSR 20-9. If a modification of the monitoring frequencies listed in 10 CSR 20-9 is needed, the permittee shall submit a written request to the department for review and, if deemed necessary, approval.
- 8. The permittee shall develop and implement a program for maintenance and repair of the collection system. The permittee shall submit a report annually in November to the St. Louis Regional Office with the Discharge and Monitoring reports which address measures taken to locate and eliminate sources of infiltration and inflow into the collection system serving the facility.
- 9. Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) Test shall be conducted as follows:

	SUMMARY OF ACUTE WET TESTING FOR THIS PERMIT								
OUTFALL	AEC	FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE	MONTH					
001	100%	Once / permit cycle	Multiple	Sample any month, report in August of 4 th year of the effective permit					

Dilution Series						
100%	50%	25%	12.5%	6.25%	(Control) 100% upstream, if available	(Control) 100% Lab Water, also called synthetic water

- (a) Test Schedule and Follow-Up Requirements
 - (1) Perform a MULTIPLE-dilution acute WET test in the months and at the frequency specified above. For tests which are successfully passed, submit test results using the Department's WET test report form #MO-780-1899 along with complete copies of the test reports as received from the laboratory, including copies of chain-of-custody forms within 30 calendar days of availability to the WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM, P.O. Box 176, Jefferson City, MO 65102. If the effluent passes the test, do not repeat the test until the next test period.
 - (a) For discharges of stormwater, samples shall be collected within three hours from when discharge first occurs.
 - (b) Samples submitted for analysis of stormwater discharges shall be collected as a grab.

D. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (continued)

- 9. Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) Test (continued):
 - (c) For discharges of non-stormwater, samples shall be collected only when precipitation has not occurred for a period of forty-eight hours prior to sample collection. In no event shall sample collection occur simultaneously with the occurrence of precipitation excepting for stormwater samples.
 - (d) A twenty-four hour composite sample shall be submitted for analysis of non-stormwater discharges.
 - (e) Upstream receiving water samples, where required, shall be collected upstream from any influence of the effluent where downstream flow is clearly evident.
 - (f) Samples submitted for analysis of upstream receiving water may be collected as either a grab or twenty-four-hour composite as appropriate to the nature of the discharge.
 - (g) Chemical and physical analysis of the upstream control and effluent sample shall occur immediately upon being received by the laboratory, prior to any manipulation of the effluent sample beyond preservation methods consistent with federal guidelines for WET testing that are required to stabilize the sample during shipping.
 - (h) Any and all chemical or physical analysis of the effluent sample performed in conjunction with the WET test shall be performed at the 100% Effluent concentration in addition to analyses performed upon any other effluent concentration.
 - (i) All chemical analyses included in the Missouri Department of Natural Resources WET test report form #MO-780-1899 shall be performed and results shall be recorded in the appropriate field of the report form.
 - (j) Where flow-weighted composite sample is required for analysis, the samples shall be composited at the laboratory where the test is to be performed.
 - (k) Where in stream testing is required downstream from the discharge, sample collection shall occur immediately below the established Zone of Initial Dilution in conjunction with or immediately following a release or discharge.
 - (l) Samples submitted for analysis of downstream receiving water may be collected as either a grab or twenty-four-hour composite as appropriate to the nature of the discharge.
 - (m) All instream samples, including downstream samples, shall be tested for toxicity at the 100% concentration in addition to any other assigned AEC for in-stream samples.
 - (2) All failing test results along with complete copies of the test reports as received from the laboratory, INCLUDING THOSE TESTS CONDUCTED UNDER CONDITION (3) BELOW, shall be reported to the WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM, P.O. Box 176, Jefferson City, MO 65102 within 14 calendar days of the availability of the results.
 - (3) If the effluent fails the test, a multiple dilution test shall be performed for BOTH test species within 30 calendar days and biweekly thereafter (for storm water, tests shall be performed on the next and subsequent storm water discharges as they occur, but not less than 7 days apart) until one of the following conditions are met:
 - (a) THREE CONSECUTIVE MULTIPLE-DILUTION TESTS PASS. No further tests need to be performed until next regularly scheduled test period.
 - (b) A TOTAL OF THREE MULTIPLE-DILUTION TESTS FAIL.
 - (4) The permittee shall submit a summary of all test results for the test series along with complete copies of the test reports as received from the laboratory to the WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM, P.O. Box 176, Jefferson City, MO 65102 within 14 calendar days of the third failed test.
 - (5) Additionally, the following shall apply upon failure of the third MULTIPLE DILUTION test: A toxicity identification evaluation (TIE) or toxicity reduction evaluation (TRE) is automatically triggered. The permittee shall contact THE WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM within 14 calendar days from availability of the test results to ascertain as to whether a TIE or TRE is appropriate. The permittee shall submit a plan for conducting a TIE or TRE to the WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM within 60 calendar days of the date of DNR's direction to perform either a TIE or TRE. This plan must be approved by DNR before the TIE or TRE is begun. A schedule for completing the TIE or TRE shall be established in the plan approval.
 - (6) Upon DNR's approval, the TIE/TRE schedule may be modified if toxicity is intermittent during the TIE/TRE investigations. A revised WET test schedule may be established by DNR for this period.
 - (7) If a previously completed TIE has clearly identified the cause of toxicity, additional TIEs will not be required as long as effluent characteristics remain essentially unchanged and the permittee is proceeding according to a DNR approved schedule to complete a TRE and reduce toxicity. Regularly scheduled WET testing as required in the permit, without the follow-up requirements, will be required during this period.
 - (9) Submit a concise summary in tabular format of all WET test results with the annual report.

D. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (continued)

- 9. Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) Test (continued):
 - (b) PASS/FAIL procedure and effluent limitations:
 - (1) To pass a multiple-dilution test:
 - (a) For facilities with a computed percent effluent at the edge of the zone of initial dilution, Allowable Effluent Concentration (AEC) OF 30% OR LESS, the AEC must be less than three-tenths (0.3) of the LC_{50} concentration for the most sensitive of the test organisms; **OR**,
 - (b) For facilities with an AEC greater than 30%, the LC50 concentration must be greater than 100%; AND,
 - (c) All effluent concentrations equal to or less than the AEC must be nontoxic. Mortality observed in all effluent concentrations equal to or less than the AEC shall not be significantly different (at the 95% confidence level; p = 0.05) than that observed in the upstream receiving-water control sample. Where upstream receiving water is not available mortality observed in the AEC test concentration shall not be significantly different (at the 95% confidence level; p = 0.05) than that observed in the laboratory control. The appropriate statistical tests of significance shall be consistent with the most current edition of METHODS FOR MEASURING THE ACUTE TOXICITY OF EFFLUENTS AND RECEIVING WATERS TO FRESHWATER AND MARINE ORGANISMS or other federal guidelines as appropriate or required. Failure of one multiple-dilution test may be considered an effluent limit violation.

(c) Test Conditions

- (1) Test Type: Acute Static non-renewal
- (2) All tests, including repeat tests for previous failures, shall include both test species listed below.
- (3) Test species: Ceriodaphnia dubia and Pimephales promelas (fathead minnow). Organisms used in WET testing shall come from cultures reared for the purpose of conducting toxicity tests and cultured in a manner consistent with the most current USEPA guidelines. All test animals shall be cultured as described in the most current edition of Methods for Measuring the Acute Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater and Marine Organisms.
- (4) Test period: 48 hours at the "Acceptable Effluent Concentration" (AEC) specified above.
- Upstream receiving stream water shall be used as dilution water. If upstream water is unavailable or if mortality in the upstream water exceeds 10%, "reconstituted" water will be used as dilution water. Procedures for generating reconstituted water will be supplied by the MDNR upon request.
- (6) Multiple-dilution tests will be run with:
 - (a) 100%, 50%, 25%, 12.5%, and 6.25% effluent, unless the AEC is less than 25% effluent, in which case dilutions will be 4 times the AEC, two times the AEC, AEC, 1/2 AEC and 1/4 AEC;
 - (b) 100% receiving-stream water (if available), collected upstream of the outfall at a point beyond any influence of the effluent; and
 - (c) Reconstituted water.
- (7) If reconstituted-water control mortality for a test species exceeds 10%, the entire test will be rerun.
- (8) If upstream control mortality exceeds 10%, the entire test will be rerun using reconstituted water as the dilutant.

SUMMARY OF TEST METHODOLOGY FOR ACUTE WHOLE-EFFLUENT TOXICITY TESTS

Whole-effluent-toxicity test required in NPDES permits shall use the following test conditions when performing single or multiple dilution methods. Any future changes in methodology will be supplied to the permittee by the Missouri Department of Natural Resources (MDNR). Unless more stringent methods are specified by the DNR, the procedures shall be consistent with the most current edition of Methods for Measuring the Acute Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater and Marine Organisms,

Test conditions for Ceriodaphnia dubia:

Test duration: 48 h

Temperature: $25 \pm 1^{\circ}\text{C}$ Temperatures shall not deviate by more than 3°C during

the test.

Light Quality: Ambient laboratory illumination

Photoperiod: 16 h light, 8 h dark Size of test vessel: 30 mL (minimum) Volume of test solution: 15 mL (minimum)

Age of test organisms: <24 h old

No. of animals/test vessel: 5
No. of replicates/concentration: 4

No. of organisms/concentration: 20 (minimum)

Feeding regime: None (feed prior to test)

Aeration: None

Dilution water: Upstream receiving water; if no upstream flow, synthetic water

modified to reflect effluent hardness.

Endpoint: Pass/Fail (Statistically significant Mortality when compared to

upstream receiving water control or synthetic control if upstream

water was not available at $p \le 0.05$) 90% or greater survival in controls

Test acceptability criterion:

Test conditions for Pimephales promelas:

Test duration: 48 h

Temperature: $25 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C Temperatures shall not deviate by more than 3° C during

the test

Light Quality: Ambient laboratory illumination

Photoperiod: 16 h light/ 8 h dark
Size of test vessel: 250 mL (minimum)
Volume of test solution: 200 mL (minimum)
Age of test organisms: 1-14 days (all same age)

No. of animals/test vessel:

No. of replicates/concentration: 4 (minimum) single dilution method

2 (minimum) single dilution method 40 (minimum) single dilution method

No. of organisms/concentration: 40 (minimum) single dilution method 20 (minimum) multiple dilution method

Feeding regime: None (feed prior to test)

Aeration: None, unless DO concentration falls below 4.0 mg/L; rate should

not exceed 100 bubbles/min.

Dilution water: Upstream receiving water; if no upstream flow, synthetic water

modified to reflect effluent hardness.

Endpoint: Pass/Fail (Statistically significant Mortality when compared to

upstream receiving water control or synthetic control if upstream

water was not available at $p \le 0.05$)

Test Acceptability criterion: 90% or greater survival in controls

Lake Tishomingo Page # 1 Fact Sheet Version 02/20/2009

MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES **FACT SHEET** FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSTRUCTION **MO-0136298** LAKE TISHOMINGO WWTF

The Federal Water Pollution Control Act ("Clean Water Act" Section 402 Public Law 92-500 as amended) established the National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit program. This program regulates the discharge of pollutants from point sources into the waters of the United States, and the release of storm water from certain point sources. All such discharges are unlawful without a permit (Section 301 of the "Clean Water Act"). After a permit is obtained, a discharge not in compliance with all permit terms and conditions is unlawful. Missouri State Operating Permits (MSOPs) are issued by the Director of the Missouri Department of Natural Resources (Department) under an approved program, operating in accordance with federal and state laws (Federal "Clean Water Act" and "Missouri Clean Water Law" Section 644 as amended). MSOPs are issued for a period of five (5) years unless otherwise specified.

As per [40 CFR Part 124.8(a)] and [10 CSR 20-6.020(1)2.] a Factsheet shall be prepared to give pertinent information regarding the applicable regulations, rationale for the development of effluent limitations and conditions, and the public participation process for the Missouri State Operating Permit (operating permit) listed below.

A Factsheet is not an en	forceable part of an operating permit.
	Major □, Minor ☑, Industrial Facility □; Variance □; □; General Permit Covered Facility □; and/or permit with widespread public interest □.
Part I – Facility In	<u>formation</u>
Facility Type:	POTW
Facility SIC Code(s):	4952
Facility Descriptions	

<u>Facility Description:</u>

This is a new facility with a design flow of 75,000 gpd that will consist of multiple 1,000 gallon septic tanks at each dwelling discharging via pressurized collection system to a recirculating sand filter facility. The facility will also have disinfection prior to discharge.

Application Date: 08/29/2008 Expiration Date: N/A N/A

Last Inspection:

OUTFALL(S) TABLE:

OUTFALL	DESIGN FLOW (CFS)	TREATMENT LEVEL	EFFLUENT TYPE	DISTANCE TO CLASSIFIED SEGMENT (MI)
#001	.116	Secondary	Domestic	Approximately 1.1 mi

Outfall #001

Legal Description: Land Grant 3027, Jefferson County

Latitude/Longitude: +3819049/-09036071

Receiving Stream: Unnamed tributary to Belew Creek First Classified Stream and ID: Belew Creek(P) (2179) USGS Basin & Sub-watershed No.: (07140104-080007)

Receiving Water Body's Water Quality & Facility Performance History:

None

Comments:

This is the public notice of the operating permit that will be issued when construction is complete. Issuance of a construction permit will follow this public notice.

Lake Tishomingo Page # 2 Fact Sheet Version 02/20/2009

Part II – Operator Certification Requirements

As per [10 CSR 20-6.010(8) Terms and Conditions of a Permit], permittees shall operate and maintain facilities to comply with the Missouri Clean Water Law and applicable permit conditions and regulations. Operators or supervisors of operations at regulated wastewater treatment facilities shall be certified in accordance with [10 CSR 20-9.020(2)] and any other applicable state law or regulation. As per [10 CSR 20-9.010(2)(A)], requirements for operation by certified personnel shall apply to all wastewater treatment systems, if applicable, as listed below:

Check boxes below that are applicable to the facility;

•	Ov	vned or operated by or for:	
	•	Municipalities	
	•	Public Sewer District:	
	•	County	
	•	Public Water Supply Districts:	\boxtimes
	•	Private sewer company regulated by the Public Service Commission:	
	•	State or Federal agencies:	

Each of the above entities are only applicable if they have a Population Equivalent greater than two hundred (200) and/or fifty (50) or more service connections.

This facility currently requires an operator with a <u>D</u> Certification Level. Please see **Appendix A - Classification Worksheet**. Modifications made to the wastewater treatment facility may cause the classification to be modified.

This facility does not currently retain an operator with the correct level of certification required to operate the wastewater treatment facility. Missouri Clean Water Law and its implementing regulation 10 CSR 20-9.020(2)(F) allows the Department to develop a schedule of activities including the date by which compliance shall be obtained. This schedule of activities shall be established in this operating permit as a Schedule of Compliance. (If needed, upon issuance).

Part III - Receiving Stream Information

APPLICABLE DESIGNATIONS OF WATERS OF THE STATE:

As per Missouri's Effluent Regulations [10 CSR 20-7.015], the waters of the state are divided into the below listed seven (7) categories. Each category lists effluent limitations for specific parameters, which are presented in each outfall's Effluent Limitation Table and further discussed in the Derivation & Discussion of Limits section.

Missouri or Mississippi River [10 CSR 20-7.015(2)]:	
Lake or Reservoir [10 CSR 20-7.015(3)]:	
Losing [10 CSR 20-7.015(4)]:	
Metropolitan No-Discharge [10 CSR 20-7.015(5)]:	
Special Stream [10 CSR 20-7.015(6)]:	
Subsurface Water [10 CSR 20-7.015(7)]:	
All Other Waters [10 CSR 20-7.015(8)]:	\bowtie

10 CSR 20-7.031 Missouri Water Quality Standards, the Department defines the Clean Water Commission water quality objectives in terms of "water uses to be maintained and the criteria to protect those uses." The receiving stream and/or 1st classified receiving stream's beneficial water uses to be maintained are located in the Receiving Stream Table located below in accordance with [10 CSR 20-7.031(3)].

RECEIVING STREAM(S) TABLE:

WATERBODY NAME	CLASS	S WBID DESIGNATED USES*		8-Digit HUC	EDU**
Unnamed tributary	U	-	General Criteria	07140104	Ozarks /
Belew Creek	P	2179 WBC(B), AQL, LWW		0/140104	Meramec

^{* -} Irrigation (IRR), Livestock & Wildlife Watering (LWW), Protection of Warm Water Aquatic Life and Human Health-Fish Consumption (AQL), Cool Water Fishery(CLF), Cold Water Fishery (CDF), Whole Body Contact Recreation (WBC), Secondary Contact Recreation (SCR), Drinking Water Supply (DWS), Industrial (IND), Groundwater (GRW).

^{** -} Ecological Drainage Unit

^{*** -} UAA has not been conducted.

RECEIVING STREAM(S) LOW-FLOW VALUES TABLE:

RECEIVING STREAM (U, C, P)	LOW-FLOW VALUES (CFS)				
	1Q10	7Q10	30Q10		
Unnamed tributary	-	-	-		

Mixing Zone: Not Allowed [10 CSR 20-7.031(4)(A)4.B.(I)(a)].

Zone of Initial Dilution: Not Allowed [10 CSR 20-7.031(4)(A)4.B.(I)(b)].

RECEIVING STREAM MONITORING REQUIREMENTS:

No receiving water monitoring requirements recommended at this time.

Part IV - Rationale and Derivation of Effluent Limitations & Permit Conditions

ALTERNATIVE EVALUATIONS FOR NEW FACILITIES:

As per [10 CSR 20-7.015(4)(A)], discharges to losing streams shall be permitted only after other alternatives including land application, discharges to a gaining stream and connection to a regional wastewater treatment facility have been evaluated and determined to be unacceptable for environmental and/or economic reasons.

Not Applicable ⊠;

The facility does not discharge to a Losing Stream as defined by [10 CSR 20-2.010(36)] & [10 CSR 20-7.031(1)(N)], or is an existing facility.

ANTI-BACKSLIDING:

A provision in the Federal Regulations [CWA §303(d)(4); CWA §402(c); 40 CFR Part 122.44(I)] that requires a reissued permit to be as stringent as the previous permit with some exceptions.

ANTIDEGRADATION:

In accordance with Missouri's Water Quality Standard [10 CSR 20-7.031(2)], the Department is to document by means of Antidegradation Review that the use of a water body's available assimilative capacity is justified. Degradation is justified by documenting the socio-economic importance of a discharging activity after determining the necessity of the discharge.

□ New and/or expanded discharge.

As per [10 CSR 20-7.031(2)(D)], the three (3) levels of protection provided by the antidegradation policy in subsections (A), (B), and (C) of this section shall be implemented according to procedures developed by the Department. On April 20, 2007, the Missouri Clean Water Commission approved *Missouri Antidegradation Rule and Implementation Procedure* (Antidegradation Rule), which is applicable to new or upgraded/expanded facilities. The implementation of the Antidegradation Rule occurred on August 31, 2008. Any construction permit application or other applicable permit applications submitted prior to August 31, 2008, will not be required to have an Antidegradation Review.

AREA-WIDE WASTE TREATMENT MANAGEMENT & CONTINUING AUTHORITY:

As per [10 CSR 20-6.010(3)(B)], ... An applicant may utilize a lower preference continuing authority by submitting, as part of the application, a statement waiving preferential status from each existing higher preference authority, providing the waiver does not conflict with any area-wide management plan approved under section 208 of the Federal Clean Water Act or any other regional sewage service and treatment plan approved for higher preference authority by the Department.

BIO-SOLIDS, SLUDGE, & SEWAGE SLUDGE:

Bio-solids are solid materials resulting from wastewater treatment that meet federal and state criteria for beneficial uses (i.e. fertilizer). Sludge is any solid, semi-solid, or liquid waste generated from a municipal, commercial, or industrial wastewater treatment plant, water supply treatment plant, or air pollution control facility or any other such waste having similar characteristics and effect. Sewage sludge is solids, semi-solids, or liquid residue generated during the treatment of domestic sewage in a treatment works; including but not limited to, domestic septage; scum or solids removed in primary, secondary, or advanced wastewater treatment process; and a material derived from sewage sludge. Sewage sludge does not include ash generated during the firing of sewage sludge in a sewage sludge incinerator or grit and screening generated during preliminary treatment of domestic sewage in a treatment works.

Not Applicable ⊠;

This condition is not applicable to the permittee for this specific facility.

Lake Tishomingo Page # 4 Fact Sheet Version 02/20/2009

COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT:

Enforcement is the action taken by the Water Protection Program (WPP) to bring an entity into compliance with the Missouri Clean Water Law, its implementing regulations, and/or any terms and conditions of an operating permit. The primary purpose of the enforcement activity in the WPP is to resolve violations and return the entity to compliance.

Not Applicable ⊠;

The permittee/facility is not currently under Water Protection Program enforcement action.

PRETREATMENT PROGRAM:

The reduction of the amount of pollutants, the elimination of pollutants, or the alteration of the nature of pollutant properties in wastewater prior to or in lieu of discharging or otherwise introducing such pollutants into a Publicly Owned Treatment Works [40 CFR Part 403.3(q)].

Pretreatment programs are required at any POTW (or combination of POTW operated by the same authority) and/or municipality with a total design flow greater than 5.0 MGD and receiving industrial wastes that interfere with or pass through the treatment works or are otherwise subject to the pretreatment standards. Pretreatment programs can also be required at POTWs/municipals with a design flow less than 5.0 MGD if needed to prevent interference with operations or pass through.

Several special conditions pertaining to the permittee's pretreatment program may be included in the permit, and are as follows:

- Implementation and enforcement of the program,
- Annual pretreatment report submittal,
- Submittal of list of industrial users,
- Technical evaluation of need to establish local limitations, and
- Submittal of the results of the evaluation

Not Applicable ⊠;

The permittee, at this time, is not required to have a Pretreatment Program or does not have an approved pretreatment program.

REASONABLE POTENTIAL ANALYSIS (RPA):

Federal regulation [40 CFR Part 122.44(d)(1)(i)] requires effluent limitations for all pollutants that are or may be discharged at a level that will cause or have the reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an in-stream excursion above narrative or numeric water quality standard.

In accordance with [40 CFR Part 122.44(d)(iii)] if the permit writer determines that any give pollutant has the reasonable potential to cause, or contribute to an in-stream excursion above the WQS, the permit must contain effluent limits for that pollutant.

Not Applicable ⊠;

A RPA was not conducted for this facility.

REMOVAL EFFICIENCY:

Removal efficiency is a method by which the Federal Regulations define Secondary Treatment and Equivalent to Secondary Treatment, which applies to Biochemical Oxygen Demand 5-day (BOD $_5$) and Total Suspended Solids (TSS) for Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTWs)/municipals. Please see the United States Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) website for interpretation of percent removal requirements for National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit Application Requirements for Publicly Owned Treatment Works and Other Treatment Works Treating Domestic Sewage @ www.epa.gov/fedrgstr/EPA-WATER/1999/August/Day-04/w18866.htm.

Applicable ⊠;

Secondary Treatment is 85% removal [40 CFR Part 133.102(a)(3) & (b)(3)].

Sanitary Sewer Overflows (SSOs), Bypasses, Inflow & Infiltration (I&I) – Prevention/Reduction:

Sanitary Sewer Systems (SSSs) are municipal wastewater collection systems that convey domestic, commercial, and industrial wastewater, and limited amounts of infiltrated groundwater and storm water (i.e. I&I), to a POTW. SSSs are not designed to collect large amounts of storm water runoff from precipitation events.

Untreated or partially treated discharges from SSSs are commonly referred to as SSOs. SSOs have a variety of causes including blockages, line breaks, sewer defects that allow excess storm water and ground water to overload the system, lapses in sewer system operation and maintenance, inadequate sewer design and construction, power failures, and vandalism. A SSOs is defined as an untreated or partially treated sewage release from a SSS. SSOs can occur at any point in an SSS, during dry weather or wet weather. SSOs include overflows that reach waters of the state. SSOs also include overflows out of manholes and onto city streets, sidewalks,

Lake Tishomingo Page # 5 Fact Sheet Version 02/20/2009

and other terrestrial locations. SSSs can back up into buildings, including private residences. When sewage backups are caused by problems in the publicly-owned portion of an SSS, they are considered SSOs.

Applicable ⊠;

In accordance with 40 CFR Part 122.41(e), the permittee is required to develop and/or implement a program for maintenance and repair of the collection system and shall be required in this operating permit by either means of a Special Condition or Schedule of Compliance. In addition, the Department considers the development of this program as an implementation of this condition. Additionally, 40 CFR Part 403.3(o) defines a POTW to include any device and systems used in the storage, treatment, recycling and reclamation of municipal sewage or industrial wastes of liquid nature. It also includes sewers, pipes, and other conveyances only if they convey wastewater to a POTW Treatment Plant.

At this time, the Department recommends the US EPA's Guide for Evaluating Capacity, Management, Operation and Maintenance (CMOM) Programs At Sanitary Sewer Collection Systems (Document # EPA 305-B-05-002). The CMOM identifies some of the criteria used by the EPA to evaluate a collection system's management, operation, and maintenance and was intended for use by the EPA, state, regulated community, and/or third party entities. The CMOM is applicable to small, medium, and large systems; both public and privately owned; and both regional and satellite collection systems. The CMOM does not substitute for the Clean Water Act, the Missouri Clean Water Law, and both federal and state regulations, as it is not a regulation.

Not Applicable □;

This facility is not required to develop or implement a program for maintenance and repair of the collection system; however, it is a violation of Missouri State Environmental Laws and Regulations to allow untreated wastewater to discharge to waters of the state.

SCHEDULE OF COMPLIANCE (SOC):

A schedule of remedial measures included in a permit, including an enforceable sequence of interim requirements (actions, operations, or milestone events) leading to compliance with the Missouri Clean Water Law, its implementing regulations, and/or the terms and conditions of an operating permit.

Not Applicable ⊠;

This permit does not contain a SOC.

STORM WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN (SWPPP):

In accordance with 40 CFR 122.44(k) *Best Management Practices (BMPs)* to control or abate the discharge of pollutants when: (1) Authorized under section 304(e) of the Clean Water Act (CWA) for the control of toxic pollutants and hazardous substances from ancillary industrial activities: (2) Authorized under section 402(p) of the CWA for the control of storm water discharges; (3) Numeric effluent limitations are infeasible; or (4) the practices are reasonably necessary to achieve effluent limitations and standards or to carry out the purposes and intent of the CWA.

In accordance with the EPA's <u>Developing Your Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan, A Guide for Industrial Operators</u>, (Document number EPA 833-B-09-002) [published by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) in February 2009], BMPs are measures or practices used to reduce the amount of pollution entering (regarding this operating permit) waters of the state. BMPs may take the form of a process, activity, or physical structure.

Additionally in accordance with the Storm Water Management, a SWPPP is a series of steps and activities to (1) identify sources of pollution or contamination, and (2) select and carry out actions which prevent or control the pollution of storm water discharges.

Not Applicable \boxtimes ;

At this time, the permittee is not required to develop and implement a SWPPP.

VARIANCE:

As per the Missouri Clean Water Law § 644.061.4, variances shall be granted for such period of time and under such terms and conditions as shall be specified by the commission in its order. The variance may be extended by affirmative action of the commission. In no event shall the variance be granted for a period of time greater than is reasonably necessary for complying with the Missouri Clean Water Law §§644.006 to 644.141 or any standard, rule or regulation promulgated pursuant to Missouri Clean Water Law §§644.006 to 644.141.

Not Applicable \boxtimes ;

This operating permit is not drafted under premises of a petition for variance.

WASTELOAD ALLOCATIONS (WLA) FOR LIMITS:

As per [10 CSR 20-2.010(78)], the amount of pollutant each discharger is allowed by the Department to release into a given stream after the Department has determined total amount of pollutant that may be discharged into that stream without endangering its water quality.

Lake Tishomingo Page # 6 Fact Sheet Version 02/20/2009

Applicable ⊠;

Wasteload allocations were calculated where applicable using water quality criteria or water quality model results and the dilution equation below:

$$C = \frac{\left(Cs \times Qs\right) + \left(Ce \times Qe\right)}{\left(Qe + Qs\right)}$$
 (EPA/505/2-90-001, Section 4.5.5)

Where C = downstream concentration

Cs = upstream concentration

Qs = upstream flow

Ce = effluent concentration

Qe = effluent flow

Chronic wasteload allocations were determined using applicable chronic water quality criteria (CCC: criteria continuous concentration) and stream volume of flow at the edge of the mixing zone (MZ). Acute wasteload allocations were determined using applicable water quality criteria (CMC: criteria maximum concentration) and stream volume of flow at the edge of the zone of initial dilution (ZID).

Water quality based maximum daily and average monthly effluent limitations were calculated using methods and procedures outlined in USEPA's "Technical Support Document For Water Quality-based Toxics Control" (EPA/505/2-90-001).

WLA MODELING:

There are two general types of effluent limitations, technology-based effluent limits (TBELs) and water quality based effluent limits (WQBELs). If TBELs do not provide adequate protection for the receiving waters, then WQBEL must be used.

Not Applicable ⊠;

A WLA study was either not submitted or determined not applicable by Department staff.

WATER QUALITY STANDARDS:

Per [10 CSR 20-7.031(3)], General Criteria shall be applicable to all waters of the state at all times including mixing zones. Additionally, [40 CFR 122.44(d)(1)] directs the Department to establish in each NPDES permit to include conditions to achieve water quality established under Section 303 of the Clean Water Act, including State narrative criteria for water quality.

WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY (WET) TEST:

A WET test is a quantifiable method of determining if a discharge from a facility may be causing toxicity to aquatic life by itself, in combination with or through synergistic responses when mixed with receiving stream water.

Applicable \boxtimes ;

Under the federal Clean Water Act (CWA) §101(a)(3), requiring WET testing is reasonably appropriate for site-specific Missouri State Operating Permits for discharges to waters of the state issued under the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES). WET testing is also required by 40 CFR 122.44(d)(1). WET testing ensures that the provisions in the 10 CSR 20-6.010(8)(A)7. and the Water Quality Standards 10 CSR 20-7.031(3)(D),(F),(G),(I)2.A & B are being met. Under [10 CSR 20-6.010(8)(A)4], the Department may require other terms and conditions that it deems necessary to assure compliance with the Clean Water Act and related regulations of the Missouri Clean Water Commission. In addition the following MCWL apply: §§§644.051.3 requires the Department to set permit conditions that comply with the MCWL and CWA; 644.051.4 specifically references toxicity as an item we must consider in writing permits (along with water quality-based effluent limits, pretreatment, etc...); and 644.051.5 is the basic authority to require testing conditions. WET test will be required by <u>all</u> facilities meeting the following criteria:

L		Facility is a designated Major.
		Facility continuously or routinely exceeds its design flow.
		Facility (industrial) that alters its production process throughout the year.
		Facility handles large quantities of toxic substances, or substances that are toxic in large amounts
		Facility has Water Quality-based Effluent Limitations for toxic substances (other than NH ₃)
	X	Facility is a municipality or domestic discharger with a Design Flow ≥ 22,500 gpd.
		Other – please justify.

303(d) LIST & TOTAL MAXIMUM DAILY LOAD (TMDL):

Section 303(d) of the federal Clean Water Act requires that each state identify waters that are not meeting water quality standards and for which adequate water pollution controls have not been required. Water quality standards protect such beneficial uses of water as whole body contact (such as swimming), maintaining fish and other aquatic life, and providing drinking water for people, livestock

Lake Tishomingo Page # 7 Fact Sheet Version 02/20/2009

and wildlife. The 303(d) list helps state and federal agencies keep track of waters that are impaired but not addressed by normal water pollution control programs.

A TMDL is a calculation of the maximum amount of a given pollutant that a body of water can absorb before its water quality is affected. If a water body is determined to be impaired as listed on the 303(d) list, then a watershed management plan will be developed that shall include the TMDL calculation

Not Applicable ⊠;

This facility does not discharge to a 303(d) listed stream.

Part V – Effluent Limits Determination

Outfall #001 - Main Facility Outfall

EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS TABLE:

PARAMETER	Unit	BASIS FOR LIMITS	DAILY MAXIMUM	WEEKLY AVERAGE	MONTHLY AVERAGE	Modified	PREVIOUS PERMIT LIMITATIONS
FLOW	GPD	1	*		*		NEW FACILITY
BOD_5	MG/L	1		45	30		NEW FACILITY
TSS	MG/L	1		45	30		NEW FACILITY
РΗ	SU	2	6.5-9.0		6.5-9.0		NEW FACILITY
Ammonia as N (May 1 – Oct 31)	MG/L	2,3,5	3.7		1.4		New Facility
Ammonia as N (Nov 1 – Apr 30)	MG/L	2,3,5	7.5		2.8		New Facility
ESCHERICHIA COLI	***	2		1030	206		NEW FACILITY
OIL & GREASE (MG/L)	MG/L	2	15		10		NEW FACILITY
WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY (WET) TEST	% Survival	11	Please see WET Test in the Derivation and Discussion Section below.				
Monitoring Frequency	Please see Minimum Sampling and Reporting Frequency Requirements in the Derivation and Discussion Section below.			the Derivation and			

^{* -} Monitoring requirement only.

Basis for Limitations Codes:

- State or Federal Regulation/Law
- 2. Water Quality Standard (includes RPA)
- 3. Water Quality Based Effluent Limits
- 4. Lagoon Policy
 5. Ammonia Policy
- 5. Ammonia Policy
- 6. Dissolved Oxygen Policy

- 7. Antidegradation Policy
- 8. Water Quality Model
- 9. Best Professional Judgment
- 10. TMDL or Permit in lieu of TMDL
- 11. WET Test Policy
- 12. Antidegradation Review

OUTFALL #001 - DERIVATION AND DISCUSSION OF LIMITS:

- **Flow**. In accordance with [40 CFR Part 122.44(i)(1)(ii)] the volume of effluent discharged from each outfall is needed to assure compliance with permitted effluent limitations. If the permittee is unable to obtain effluent flow, then it is the responsibility of the permittee to inform the Department, which may require the submittal of an operating permit modification.
- <u>Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD₅)</u>. 45 mg/L as a Weekly Average and 30 mg/L as a Monthly Average. Please see the **APPLICABLE DESIGNATION OF WATERS OF THE STATE** sub-section of the <u>Receiving Stream Information</u>.
- <u>Total Suspended Solids (TSS)</u>. 45 mg/L as a Weekly Average and 30 mg/L as a Monthly Average. Please see the **APPLICABLE DESIGNATION OF WATERS OF THE STATE** sub-section of the **Receiving Stream Information**.
- <u>pH</u>. 6.5-9.0. 10 CSR 20-7.031(4)(E). Missouri Effluent Regulations will also require 6.5-9.0 for POTW's as per 10 CSR 20-7.015, which is expected to be promulgated June 2010.

^{** -} For DO the Daily Maximum is a Daily Minimum and the Monthly Average is a Monthly Average Minimum.

^{*** - #} of colonies/100mL; the Monthly Average for E. coli is a geometric mean.

No less than **TWICE/YEAR:**

• <u>Total Ammonia Nitrogen</u>. Early Life Stages Present Total Ammonia Nitrogen criteria apply [10 CSR 20-7.031(4)(B)7.C. & Table B3] default pH 7.8 SU. No mixing considerations allowed; therefore, WLA = appropriate criterion.

Season	Temp (°C)	pH (SU)	Total Ammonia Nitrogen CCC (mg/L)	Total Ammonia Nitrogen CMC (mg/L)
Summer	26	7.8	1.5	12.1
Winter	6	7.8	3.1	12.1

Summer: May 1 – October 31 Chronic WLA: $C_e = 1.5 \text{ mg/L}$ Acute WLA: $C_e = 12.1 \text{ mg/L}$ [CV = 0.6, 99^{th} Percentile, 30 day avg.] $LTA_c = 1.5 \text{ mg/L } (0.780) = 1.2 \text{ mg/L}$ $[CV = 0.6, 99^{th} Percentile]$ $LTA_a = 12.1 \text{ mg/L } (0.321) = 3.9 \text{ mg/L}$ Use most protective number of LTA_c or LTA_a. $[CV = 0.6, 99^{th} Percentile]$ MDL = 1.2 mg/L (3.11) = 3.7 mg/L $[CV = 0.6, 95^{th} Percentile, n = 30]$ AML = 1.2 mg/L (1.19) = 1.4 mg/LWinter: November 1 – April 30 Chronic WLA: $C_e = 3.1 \text{ mg/L}$ $C_e = 12.1 \text{ mg/L}$ Acute WLA: $LTA_c = 3.1 \text{ mg/L } (0.780) = 2.4 \text{ mg/L}$ $[CV = 0.6, 99^{th} Percentile, 30 day avg.]$ $LTA_a = 12.1 \text{ mg/L } (0.321) = 3.9 \text{ mg/L}$ [CV = 0.6, 99th Percentile] Use most protective number of LTA_c or LTA_a.

 $\begin{aligned} \text{MDL} &= 2.4 \text{ mg/L } (3.11) = 7.5 \text{ mg/L} \\ \text{AML} &= 2.4 \text{ mg/L } (1.19) = 2.8 \text{ mg/L} \end{aligned} \end{aligned} \qquad \begin{aligned} \text{[CV} &= 0.6, 99^{\text{th}} \text{ Percentile]} \\ \text{[CV} &= 0.6, 95^{\text{th}} \text{ Percentile, n = 30]} \end{aligned}$

Facility is subject to production processes alterations throughout the year.

- Escherichia coli (E. coli). Monthly average of 206 per 100 ml as a geometric mean and Weekly Average of 1030 during the recreational season (April 1 October 31), to protect Whole Body Contact Recreation (B) designated use of the receiving stream, as per 10 CSR 20-7.031(4)(C). Weekly Average effluent variability will be evaluated in development of a future effluent limit. An effluent limit for both monthly average and weekly average is required by 40 CFR 122.45(d). If more than one (1) sample is collected in a calendar week, then the result is to be reported as a geometric mean.
- Oil & Grease. Conventional pollutant, effluent limitation for protection of aquatic life; 10 mg/L monthly average, 15 mg/L daily maximum.

•	<u>WET Test</u> . WET Testing schedules and intervals are established in accordance with the Department's Permit Manual; Section 5.2 <i>Effluent Limits / WET Testing for Compliance Bio-monitoring</i> . It is recommended that WET testing be conducted during the period of lowest stream flow. ☐ Chronic ☐ Acute
	No less than ONCE/PERMIT CYCLE: Municipality or domestic facility with a design flow ≥ 22,500 gpd, but less than 1.0 MGD. Other, please justify.
	No less than ONCE/YEAR: Facility is designated as a Major facility or has a design flow ≥ 1.0 MGD. Facility continuously or routinely exceeds their design flow. Facility exceeds its design population equivalent (PE) for BOD ₅ whether or not its design flow is being exceeded. Facility has Water Quality-based effluent limitations for toxic substances (other than NH ₂)

	handles large quantities of toxic subs		ic in large amounts.	
		large quantities of toxic substances, or substances that are toxic in large amounts. granted seasonal relief of numeric limitations. owable Effluent Concentrations (AECs) for facilities that discharge to unclassified, Class C, Class P siderations), or Lakes [10 CSR 20-7.031(4)(A)4.B.(IV)(b)] are 100%, 50%, 25%, 12.5%, & 6.25%. I Reporting Frequency Requirements PARAMETER SAMPLING FREQUENCY REPORTING FREQUENCY FLOW ONCE/DAY ONCE/MONTH BODD, ONCE/MONTH ONCE/MONTH TSS ONCE/MONTH ONCE/MONTH PH ONCE/MONTH ONCE/MONTH PH ONCE/MONTH ONCE/MONTH AMMONIA AS N ONCE/MONTH ONCE/MONTH ECAL COLIFORM ONCE/MONTH ONCE/MONTH ECAL COLIFORM ONCE/MONTH ONCE/MONTH OIL & GREASE ONCE/MONTH ONCE/MONTH OIL & GREASE ONCE/MONTH ONCE/MONTH OIL & GREASE ONCE/MONTH ONCE/MONTH OIL & GREASE ONCE/MONTH ONCE/MONTH OIL & GREASE ONCE/MONTH ONCE/MONTH OIL & GREASE ONCE/MONTH ONCE/MONTH OIL & GREASE ONCE/MONTH ONCE/MONTH OIL & GREASE ONCE/MONTH ONCE/MONTH OIL & GREASE ONCE/MONTH ONCE/MONTH OIL & GREASE ONCE/MONTH ONCE/MONTH OIL & GREASE ONCE/MONTH ONCE/MONTH OIL & GREASE ONCE/MONTH ONCE/MONTH OIL & GREASE ONCE/MONTH ONCE/MONTH OIL & GREASE ONCE/MONTH ONCE/MONTH OIL & GREASE ONCE/MONTH ONCE/MONTH OIL & GREASE ONCE/MONTH ONCE/MONTH OIL & GREASE ONCE/MONTH ONCE/MONTH OIL & GREASE ONCE/MONTH ONCE/MONTH OIL & GREASE ONCE/MONTH ONCE/MONTH OIL & GREASE ONCE/MONTH ONCE/MONTH ONCE/MONTH ONCE/MONTH ONCE/MO		
• Minimum Sam	pling and Reporting Frequency Re	quirements.		
	PARAMETER	SAMPLING FREQUENCY	REPORTING FREQUENCY	
	<u> </u>			
	РН			
	Temperature	ONCE/MONTH	ONCE/MONTH	
	(MAY 1 – OCT 31)	ONCE/MONTH	ONCE/MONTH	
		ONCE/MONTH	ONCE/MONTH	
		ONCE/MONTH	ONCE/MONTH	
sewer system for a Applicable; The separate sanitary serviced of a provided by the application of the empirical cost data.	not required to determine findings of a publically-owned treatment works. Department is required to determine ewer system for a publically-owned pility - The department has made a real review of department records that milicant as part of the application, and put a was used by the permit writer, this	findings of affordability because treatment works. asonable search for empirical data ight contain economic data on the ablic comments received in response data may consist of median hous	the permit applies to a comb indicating the permit is affect community, a review of insection public notices of this ehold income, any other ong	ordable. The formation draft permit. If going projects
The department is	hereby making a finding based fron	n the following facts:		
OR; This permit acti is affordable; 2) The permit a an outfall in lieu of a 3) This permit a 4) The departm 5) No comment 6) The departm effluent quality;	t states that the terms and conditions a ion was taken at the discretion of the f ction is taken at the discretion of the so otherwise upgrading a system in order contains no new or expanded terms an ent is not aware of any significant econs indicating such impact were receive ent is not aware of any other more cost	existed the department system itself (e.g., sewer extension to comply with a permit issued productions; anomic impacts this permit would during the public comment per st effective wastewater treatment	n construction permits, or the prior to July 11, 2011); cause on distressed population on the draft permit;	ne relocation of

9) An affordability analysis was performed as part of the Long Term Control Plan on Combined Sewer Overflows;

10) An affordability analysis was performed as part of an Antidegradation Review Determination;

11) The applicant has entered into a Voluntary Compliance Agreement (VCA) for the purpose of eliminating inflow and infiltration into the plant. The applicant entered into the VCA after due consideration, therefore the department assumes that the applicant has determined it is affordable.

8) The applicant provided increased effluent discharge monitoring costs due to expanded monitoring frequency for certain permit

Lake Tishomingo Page # 10 Fact Sheet Version 02/20/2009

☑ 12) Other: This is a permit modification for a permit that had been previously issued. This modification only changes the operator certification from a "C" to a "D". This project is receiving funding through a 40% State Grant and a USDA Rural Development Grant/Loan, thereby making this project affordable.

Section 644.145 of HB 89 as signed by the Governor on July 11, 2011, requires the Department to make a finding of affordability, with respect to the community and its residents, in connection with the issuance of certain permits under the Missouri Clean Water Law. In the Public Water Supply District #13 of Jefferson County facility plan submitted to the Department, Jefferson County conducted a financial analysis that addresses elements of Section 644.145 for the construction and operation of a new facility. As evidence of the County's ability to meet its financial obligation, the County submitted information pertaining to service rates to Rural Development as part of the grant/loan application for which the city is seeking a construction permit for the upgrade. The Department has reviewed this submittal and hereby finds that the installation of the proposed upgrade is an affordable option for Jefferson County and its community to meet the requirements established by the County's Missouri State Operating Permit.

Below is an estimation of the cost to individual users of the system from the engineering report:

USDA Rural Development, as the lead funding agency, dictated in their letter of conditions a flat rate up to \$75 per user. The ordinance that was passed states \$72 per user.

Part VII – Administrative Requirements

On the basis of preliminary staff review and the application of applicable standards and regulations, the Department, as administrative agent for the Missouri Clean Water Commission, proposes to issue a permit(s) subject to certain effluent limitations, schedules, and special conditions contained herein and within the operating permit. The proposed determinations are tentative pending public comment.

PUBLIC NOTICE:

The Department shall give public notice that a draft permit has been prepared and its issuance is pending. Additionally, public notice will be issued if a public hearing is to be held because of a significant degree of interest in and water quality concerns related to a draft permit. No public notice is required when a request for a permit modification or termination is denied; however, the requester and permittee must be notified of the denial in writing.

The Department must issue public notice of a pending operating permit or of a new or reissued statewide general permit. The public comment period is the length of time not less than 30 days following the date of the public notice which interested persons may submit written comments about the proposed permit.

For persons wanting to submit comments regarding this proposed operating permit, then please refer to the Public Notice page located at the front of this draft operating permit. The Public Notice page gives direction on how and where to submit appropriate comments.

☑ - The Public Notice period for this operating permit is tentatively schedule to begin on (DATE) or is in process.

DATE OF FACT SHEET: 03/19/2010

COMPLETED BY:

GREG BROSSIER, ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEER II WASTEWATER ENGINEERING UNIT PERMITTING AND ENGINEERING SECTION WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM (573) 751-2908 GREG.BROSSIER@DNR.MO.GOV

Part VII – Appendices

APPENDIX A - CLASSIFICATION WORKSHEET:

Ітем	POINTS POSSIBLE	POINTS ASSIGNED
Maximum Population Equivalent (P.E.) served (Max 10 pts.)	1 pt./10,000 PE or major fraction thereof.	
Maximum: 10 pt Design Flow (avg. day) or peak month; use greater (Max 10 pts.)	1 pt. / MGD or major fraction thereof.	
EFFLUENT DISCHARGE RECEIVING	WATER SENSITIVITY:	
Missouri or Mississippi River	0	
All other stream discharges except to losing streams and stream reaches supporting whole body contact	1	1
Discharge to lake or reservoir outside of designated whole body contact recreational area	2	
Discharge to losing stream, or stream, lake or reservoir area supporting whole body contact recreation	3	
PRELIMINARY TREATMENT	Γ - Headworks	
Screening and/or comminution	3	
Grit removal	3	
Plant pumping of main flow (lift station at the headworks)	3	
PRIMARY TREATM	ENT	
Primary clarifiers	5	
Combined sedimentation/digestion	5	5
Chemical addition (except chlorine, enzymes)	4	
$REQUIRED\ LABORATORY\ CONTROL-performed$	by plant personnel (highest level only)	
Lab work conducted outside of plant	0	
Push – button or visual methods for simple test such as pH, Settleable solids	3	3
Additional procedures such as DO, COD, BOD, titrations, solids, volatile content	5	
More advanced determinations such as BOD seeding procedures, fecal coliform, nutrients, total oils, phenols, etc.	7	
Highly sophisticated instrumentation, such as atomic absorption and gas chromatograph	10	
ALTERNATIVE FATE OF E	EFFLUENT	
Direct reuse or recycle of effluent	6	
Land Disposal – low rate	3	
High rate	5	
Overland flow	4	
Total from page ONE (1)		9

APPENDIX # - CLASSIFICATION WORKSHEET (CONTINUED):

Ітем	POINTS POSSIBLE	POINTS ASSIGNED
VARIATION IN RAW WASTE (highest level only) (DMR e	exceedances and Design Flow exceed	edances)
Variation do not exceed those normally or typically expected	0	0
Recurring deviations or excessive variations of 100 to 200 % in strength and/or flow	2	
Recurring deviations or excessive variations of more than 200 % in strength and/or flow	4	
Raw wastes subject to toxic waste discharge	6	
SECONDARY TREATM	MENT	
Trickling filter and other fixed film media with secondary clarifiers	10	10
Activated sludge with secondary clarifiers (including extended aeration and oxidation ditches)	15	
Stabilization ponds without aeration	5	
Aerated lagoon	8	
Advanced Waste Treatment Polishing Pond	2	
Chemical/physical – without secondary	15	
Chemical/physical – following secondary	10	
Biological or chemical/biological	12	
Carbon regeneration	4	
DISINFECTION		
Chlorination or comparable	5	
Dechlorination	2	
On-site generation of disinfectant (except UV light)	5	
UV light	4	4
SOLIDS HANDLING - SI	LUDGE	
Solids Handling Thickening	5	
Anaerobic digestion	10	
Aerobic digestion	6	
Evaporative sludge drying	2	
Mechanical dewatering	8	
Solids reduction (incineration, wet oxidation)	12	
Land application	6	
Total from page TWO (2)		14
Total from page ONE (1)		9
Grand Total		23

